

# Stochastic heat equation with rough multiplicative noise

David Nualart

Department of Mathematics  
Kansas University

Conference in honor of Professor VLAD BALLY  
Stochastic Calculus, Monte Carlo Methods and Mathematical Finance  
October 6-9, 2015

Joint work with Yaozhong Hu, Jingyu Huang, Khoa Lê and Samy Tindel  
<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.04924.pdf>

# Stochastic heat equation with rough noise

Consider the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \sigma(u) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}, \quad (1)$$

with initial condition  $u_0$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is a fixed parameter.

- The noise  $W = \{W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a centered Gaussian process with covariance given by

$$E(W(s, x)W(t, y)) = (s \wedge t) \frac{1}{2} (|x|^{2H} + |y|^{2H} - |x - y|^{2H})$$

with  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ . That is,  $W$  is a Brownian motion in time and a *fractional Brownian motion* with Hurst parameter  $H$  in space.

- The covariance of  $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}$  equals to  $H(2H - 1)\delta_0(t - s)|x - y|^{2H-2}$ , is NOT locally integrable in space when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Stochastic heat equation with rough noise

Consider the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \sigma(u) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}, \quad (1)$$

with initial condition  $u_0$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is a fixed parameter.

- The noise  $W = \{W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a centered Gaussian process with covariance given by

$$E(W(s, x)W(t, y)) = (s \wedge t) \frac{1}{2} (|x|^{2H} + |y|^{2H} - |x - y|^{2H})$$

with  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ . That is,  $W$  is a Brownian motion in time and a *fractional Brownian motion* with Hurst parameter  $H$  in space.

- The covariance of  $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}$  equals to  $H(2H - 1)\delta_0(t - s)|x - y|^{2H-2}$ , is NOT locally integrable in space when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Stochastic heat equation with rough noise

Consider the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \sigma(u) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}, \quad (1)$$

with initial condition  $u_0$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is a fixed parameter.

- The noise  $W = \{W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a centered Gaussian process with covariance given by

$$E(W(s, x)W(t, y)) = (s \wedge t) \frac{1}{2} (|x|^{2H} + |y|^{2H} - |x - y|^{2H})$$

with  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ . That is,  $W$  is a Brownian motion in time and a *fractional Brownian motion* with Hurst parameter  $H$  in space.

- The covariance of  $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}$  equals to  $H(2H - 1)\delta_0(t - s)|x - y|^{2H-2}$ , is NOT locally integrable in space when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Stochastic integration with respect to $W$

Integration of deterministic functions:

- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$  under the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = c_{1,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(s, x+y) - f(s, x)|^2 |y|^{2H-2} dx dy ds,$$

where  $c_{1,H} = H(1 - 2H)/2$ .

- The space  $\mathcal{H}$  is isometric to the Gaussian space spanned by  $W$ : the mapping  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]} \mapsto W(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]}) = W(t, x)$  can be extended to  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E(W(f)^2) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ .
- Using the Fourier transform in the space variable yields

$$E(W(f)^2) = c_{2,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}f(s, \xi)|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi ds,$$

where  $c_{2,H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(2H + 1) \sin(\pi H)$ .

- $\mu(d\xi) = |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi$  is the *spatial spectral measure*. Its Fourier transform is not a function when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Stochastic integration with respect to $W$

Integration of deterministic functions:

- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$  under the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = c_{1,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(s, x+y) - f(s, x)|^2 |y|^{2H-2} dx dy ds,$$

where  $c_{1,H} = H(1 - 2H)/2$ .

- The space  $\mathcal{H}$  is isometric to the Gaussian space spanned by  $W$ : the mapping  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]} \mapsto W(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]}) = W(t, x)$  can be extended to  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E(W(f)^2) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ .
- Using the Fourier transform in the space variable yields

$$E(W(f)^2) = c_{2,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}f(s, \xi)|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi ds,$$

where  $c_{2,H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(2H + 1) \sin(\pi H)$ .

- $\mu(d\xi) = |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi$  is the *spatial spectral measure*. Its Fourier transform is not a function when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Stochastic integration with respect to $W$

Integration of deterministic functions:

- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$  under the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = c_{1,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(s, x+y) - f(s, x)|^2 |y|^{2H-2} dx dy ds,$$

where  $c_{1,H} = H(1 - 2H)/2$ .

- The space  $\mathcal{H}$  is isometric to the Gaussian space spanned by  $W$ : the mapping  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]} \mapsto W(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t] \times [0,x]}) = W(t, x)$  can be extended to  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E(W(f)^2) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ .
- Using the Fourier transform in the space variable yields

$$E(W(f)^2) = c_{2,H} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}f(s, \xi)|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi ds,$$

where  $c_{2,H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(2H + 1) \sin(\pi H)$ .

- $\mu(d\xi) = |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi$  is the *spatial spectral measure*. Its Fourier transform is not a function when  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ .

### Integration of predictable processes:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the filtration generated by  $W$  up to time  $t$ . An elementary predictable process  $u$  is given by:

$$u(s, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbf{1}_{(a_i, b_i]}(s) \varphi_i(x),$$

where  $0 \leq a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_n < b_n < \infty$ ,  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $X_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{a_i}$ -measurable and bounded, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- For such process we define

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(s, x) W(ds, dx) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i W(\mathbf{1}_{(a_i, b_i]} \otimes \varphi_i).$$



### Integration of predictable processes:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the filtration generated by  $W$  up to time  $t$ . An elementary predictable process  $u$  is given by:

$$u(s, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbf{1}_{(a_i, b_i]}(s) \varphi_i(x),$$

where  $0 \leq a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_n < b_n < \infty$ ,  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $X_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{a_i}$ -measurable and bounded, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- For such process we define

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(s, x) W(ds, dx) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i W(\mathbf{1}_{(a_i, b_i]} \otimes \varphi_i).$$

## Proposition

Let  $\Lambda_H$  be the space of predictable processes  $g$  such that  $E[\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2] < \infty$ . Then,

- (i) The space of elementary predictable processes is dense in  $\Lambda_H$ .
- (ii) The stochastic integral can be extended to  $\Lambda_H$ , and we have:

$$E \left( \left| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, x) W(ds, dx) \right|^2 \right) = E \left[ \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right].$$

## Mild solution

- We denote by  $p_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa t}} e^{-x^2/2\kappa t}$  the heat kernel.

### Definition

Let  $u = \{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a real-valued predictable stochastic process such that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the process

$$\{p_{t-s}(x-y)u(s, y)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s), 0 \leq s \leq t, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is an element of  $\Lambda_H$ . We say that  $u$  is a mild solution of (1) if for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$u(t, x) = p_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u(s, y)) W(ds, dy).$$

# A stochastic Young inequality I

- For  $p \geq 1$  define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}^2 = \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} \left( \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|u(t, x) - u(t, x + y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right).$$

- Define the stochastic convolution of a predictable process  $Z$  as

$$(p * ZW)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x - y) Z(s, y) W(ds, dy).$$

## Proposition

For any  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\|p * ZW\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p} \leq C_{T, H} \sqrt{p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}. \quad (2)$$

# A stochastic Young inequality I

- For  $p \geq 1$  define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}^2 = \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} \left( \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|u(t, x) - u(t, x + y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right).$$

- Define the stochastic convolution of a predictable process  $Z$  as

$$(p * ZW)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x - y) Z(s, y) W(ds, dy).$$

## Proposition

For any  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\|p * ZW\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p} \leq C_{T,H} \sqrt{p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}. \quad (2)$$

# A stochastic Young inequality I

- For  $p \geq 1$  define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}^2 = \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} \left( \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|u(t, x) - u(t, x + y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right).$$

- Define the stochastic convolution of a predictable process  $Z$  as

$$(p * ZW)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x - y) Z(s, y) W(ds, dy).$$

## Proposition

For any  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\|p * ZW\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p} \leq C_{T, H} \sqrt{p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^p}. \quad (2)$$

*Sketch of the proof:*

(i) Using Burkholder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\rho * ZW)(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ \leq & C\sqrt{p} \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho_{t-s}(x-y)Z(s, y) - \rho_{t-s}(x-y-z)Z(s, y+z))^2 \right. \\ & \left. \times |z|^{2H-2} dy dz ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The estimate follows using Minkowski's inequality and Fourier transform arguments.

(iii) In the same way we handle the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|(\rho * ZW)(t, x) - (\rho * ZW)(t, x+y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy.$$

# Existence and uniqueness in the affine case

## Theorem (Balan, Jolis, Quer-Sardanyons '15)

Suppose that  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$  and

- (i)  $u_0$  is bounded and  $H$ -Hölder continuous.
- (ii)  $\sigma(u) = au + b$ .

Then, there is a unique mild solution to equation (1) in  $\mathcal{X}_T^2$ . Moreover, the solution is  $L^2(\Omega)$ -continuous and for each  $p \geq 2$  it belongs to  $\mathcal{X}_T^p$ .

- If  $\sigma$  is an affine function, then, if  $u$  and  $v$  are two solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(v(s, y) - \sigma(u(s, y + z)) + \sigma(v(s, y + z))) \\ &= a[u(s, y) - v(s, y) - u(s, y + z) + v(s, y + z)]. \end{aligned}$$



# A stochastic Young inequality II

- For  $p \geq 1$  define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T^p}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|u(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot + y)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right).$$

## Proposition

$$E \left( \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\rho * ZW(t, x) - \rho * ZW(t, x + y)|^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C_{T, H, p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T^p} \quad (3)$$

- The proof is based on the convolution argument as in Gyongy-N. '99.

# A stochastic Young inequality II

- For  $p \geq 1$  define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T^p}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|u(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot + y)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right).$$

## Proposition

$$E \left( \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p * ZW(t, x) - p * ZW(t, x + y)|^2}{|y|^{2-2H}} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C_{T, H, p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T^p} \quad (3)$$

- The proof is based on the convolution argument as in Gyongy-N. '99.

# Uniqueness of solutions

## Theorem

Suppose that  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$  and:

- (i)  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $p > \frac{6}{4H-1}$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma$  has a Lipschitz derivative and  $\sigma(0) = 0$ .

Then, if  $u$  and  $v$  are two solutions in the space  $\mathcal{Z}_T^p$ , for each  $t, x$ ,  $u(t, x) = v(t, x)$  almost surely.

## Main ingredients of the proof:

- Given two solutions  $u, v$ , we need to estimate a double increment of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & |\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(v(s, y) - \sigma(u(s, y + z)) + \sigma(v(s, y + z)))| \\ & \leq C|u(s, y) - v(s, y) - u(s, y + z) + v(s, y + z)| \\ & \quad + C|u(s, y) - v(s, y)|[|u(s, y) - u(s, y + z)| + |v(s, y) - v(s, y + z)|]. \end{aligned}$$

- To handle the product term, we need a stopping time argument:

$$T_k = \inf \left\{ 0 \leq t \leq T : \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t, y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(s, y) - u(s, y + z)|^2 |z|^{2H-2} dz \geq k \right\}$$

- Using inequality (3) we can show that  $T_k \uparrow \infty$ , a.s. as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Existence of solutions

## Theorem

Suppose that  $\sigma$  satisfies (ii) and  $u_0$  satisfies:

- (i') For  $p > \frac{6}{4H-1}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^H(\mathbb{R})$  and
- $$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u_0(\cdot) - u_0(\cdot + y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} |y|^{2H-2} dy < \infty.$$

Then there exists a unique solution in  $Z_T^p \cap X_T^p$ .

- The proof uses a compactness argument on the set of probabilities in space of continuous functions  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$  such that  $(t, x) \mapsto \int_{|y| \leq 1} |f(t, x+y) - f(t, x)| |y|^{2H-2} dy$  is finite and continuous and for all  $R > 0$

$$\lim_{z \downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x \in [-R, R]}} \int_{|y| \leq 1} |f(t, x+z) - f(t, x) - f(t, x+y) + f(t, x+z+y)| |y|^{2H-2} dy = 0.$$

# Moment estimates

The solution satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{u_0} \exp \left( C t p^{\frac{1}{H}} \kappa^{1 - \frac{1}{H}} \|\sigma\|_{Lip}^{\frac{2}{H}} \right)$$

and

$$E u(t, x)^2 \geq C \frac{|p_t u_0(x)|^3}{\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}} \exp \left( C t \kappa^{1 - \frac{1}{H}} \sigma_*^{\frac{2}{H}} \right),$$

where  $\sigma_* = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\sigma(u)|}{|u|}$ .

# Moment estimates

The solution satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{u_0} \exp \left( C t p^{\frac{1}{H}} \kappa^{1 - \frac{1}{H}} \|\sigma\|_{Lip}^{\frac{2}{H}} \right)$$

and

$$Eu(t, x)^2 \geq C \frac{|p_t u_0(x)|^3}{\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}} \exp \left( C t \kappa^{1 - \frac{1}{H}} \sigma_*^{\frac{2}{H}} \right),$$

where  $\sigma_* = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\sigma(u)|}{|u|}$ .

- The upper bound follows from

$$\|p * ZW\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} \leq C_0 \sqrt{p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} \left( \kappa^{\frac{H}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \theta - \frac{H}{2}} + \epsilon^{-1} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4} \theta - \frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon \kappa^{H - \frac{3}{4} \theta^{\frac{1}{4} - H}} \right),$$

where for any  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\theta, \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} &= \sup_{\substack{t \geq 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} e^{-\theta t} \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \epsilon \sup_{\substack{t \geq 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} e^{-\theta t} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u(t, x + y) - u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 |y|^{2H-2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

- The lower bound follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x + y) - g(x)|^2 |y|^{2H-2} dy dx \geq c \|g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{H}}(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$



- The upper bound follows from

$$\|p * ZW\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} \leq C_0 \sqrt{p} \|Z\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} \left( \kappa^{\frac{H}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \theta^{-\frac{H}{2}} + \epsilon^{-1} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}} \theta^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon \kappa^{H - \frac{3}{4}} \theta^{\frac{1}{4} - H} \right),$$

where for any  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\theta, \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\theta, \epsilon}^p} &= \sup_{\substack{t \geq 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} e^{-\theta t} \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \epsilon \sup_{\substack{t \geq 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}}} e^{-\theta t} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u(t, x + y) - u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 |y|^{2H-2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

- The lower bound follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x + y) - g(x)|^2 |y|^{2H-2} dy dx \geq c \|g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{H}}(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

# Parabolic Anderson model

Suppose  $\sigma(u) = u$ , that is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}. \quad (4)$$

- The random field  $v = \log u$  satisfies formally the KPZ equation:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}.$$

# Parabolic Anderson model

Suppose  $\sigma(u) = u$ , that is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}. \quad (4)$$

- The random field  $v = \log u$  satisfies formally the KPZ equation:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x \partial t}.$$

# Wiener chaos expansion

- For any fixed  $(t, x)$  the random variable  $u(t, x)$  admits the following Wiener chaos expansion

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, x)),$$

where for each  $(t, x)$ ,  $f_n(\cdot, t, x)$  is a symmetric element in  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ .

- Taking into account that the Itô and Skorohod's integrals coincide for processes in  $\Lambda_H$  and using an iteration procedure, one can find

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(s_1, x_1, \dots, s_n, x_n, t, x) &= \frac{1}{n!} p_{t-s_{\sigma(n)}}(x - x_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &\quad \cdots p_{s_{\sigma(2)}-s_{\sigma(1)}}(x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(1)}) p_{s_{\sigma(1)}} u_0(x_{\sigma(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $0 < s_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < s_{\sigma(n)} < t$ .

# Wiener chaos expansion

- For any fixed  $(t, x)$  the random variable  $u(t, x)$  admits the following Wiener chaos expansion

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, x)),$$

where for each  $(t, x)$ ,  $f_n(\cdot, t, x)$  is a symmetric element in  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ .

- Taking into account that the Itô and Skorohod's integrals coincide for processes in  $\Lambda_H$  and using an iteration procedure, one can find

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(s_1, x_1, \dots, s_n, x_n, t, x) &= \frac{1}{n!} p_{t-s_{\sigma(n)}}(x - x_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &\quad \cdots p_{s_{\sigma(2)}-s_{\sigma(1)}}(x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(1)}) p_{s_{\sigma(1)}} u_0(x_{\sigma(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $0 < s_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < s_{\sigma(n)} < t$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ . Suppose that for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ax^2} |u_0(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Then, there is a unique mild solution to equation (4).

*Sketch of the proof:*

Suppose  $u_0 = 1$ .

- It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \|f_n(\cdot, t, x)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C^n t^{nH} K^{n(H-1)}}{\Gamma(nH + 1)} < \infty.$$

## Theorem

Assume  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ . Suppose that for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ax^2} |u_0(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Then, there is a unique mild solution to equation (4).

*Sketch of the proof:*

Suppose  $u_0 = 1$ .

- It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \|f_n(\cdot, t, x)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n t^{nH} \kappa^{n(H-1)}}{\Gamma(nH + 1)} < \infty.$$

- Using

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}f_n(\mathbf{s}_1, \xi_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n, \xi_n, t, \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{c_{2,H}^n}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}(\mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i+1)} - \mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i)})|\xi_{\sigma(i)} + \dots + \xi_{\sigma(1)}|^2} \\ &\times e^{-ix(\xi_{\sigma(i)} + \dots + \xi_{\sigma(i)})}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n(\cdot, t, \mathbf{x})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}^2 &= \frac{c_{2,H}^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \int_{[0,t]^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\kappa(\mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i+1)} - \mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i)})|\xi_i + \dots + \xi_1|^2} |\xi_i|^{1-2H} d\xi ds \\ &= \frac{c_{2,H}^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \int_{[0,t]^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\kappa(\mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i+1)} - \mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i)})\eta_i^2} |\eta_i - \eta_{i-1}|^{1-2H} d\eta ds \\ &\leq \frac{c_{2,H}^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \int_{[0,t]^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\kappa(\mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i+1)} - \mathbf{s}_{\sigma(i)})\eta_i^2} (|\eta_i|^{1-2H} + |\eta_{i-1}|^{1-2H}) d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\kappa s \eta^2} |\eta|^{2-4H} d\eta = c s^{-\frac{1}{2}(3-4H)},$$

and  $\frac{3-4H}{2} < 1$  if and only if  $H > \frac{1}{4}$ .



# Moment bounds

## Theorem

Let  $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ , and consider the solution  $u$  to equation (4) with  $u_0 = 1$ . Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer. Then

$$\exp(c_1 tp^{1+\frac{1}{H}} \kappa^{1-\frac{1}{H}}) \leq E(u(t, x)^p) \leq \exp(c_2 tp^{1+\frac{1}{H}} \kappa^{1-\frac{1}{H}}).$$

- These bounds coincide with those obtained in the case  $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (Khoshnevisan et al.), and also with the upper bound in the case of a general  $\sigma$ .

*Proof of the upper bound:*

- It follows from the hypercontractivity property

$$\|I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, x))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (\rho - 1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, x))\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and the estimate

$$\|I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, x))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{c_2^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{\frac{nH}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{n(H-1)}{2}}}{[\Gamma(nH + 1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

which yields

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_2^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{\frac{nH}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{n(H-1)}{2}}}{(\Gamma(nH + 1))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \exp(c_2 \rho^{\frac{1}{H}} t \kappa^{1 - \frac{1}{H}}).$$

## Proof of the lower bound:

- We introduce an approximation of the noise given by

$$W^\varepsilon(\varphi) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_\varepsilon * \varphi](s, x) W(ds, dy).$$

- Let  $u^\varepsilon$  be the solution to the approximate equation

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = p_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x - y) u^\varepsilon(s, y) W^\varepsilon(ds, dy),$$

### Proposition (Feynman-Kac formula for the moments)

For each  $p \geq 2$ ,  $E[u(t, x)^p] = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p]$ , and

$$E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p] = E_B \left[ \exp \left( c_{2,H} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\varepsilon \xi^2 + i \xi (B_r^i - B_r^j)} |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi dr \right) \right],$$

where  $B$  is a  $p$ -dimensional Brownian motion independent of  $W$ .

## Proof of the lower bound:

- We introduce an approximation of the noise given by

$$W^\varepsilon(\varphi) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_\varepsilon * \varphi](s, x) W(ds, dy).$$

- Let  $u^\varepsilon$  be the solution to the approximate equation

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = p_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x - y) u^\varepsilon(s, y) W^\varepsilon(ds, dy),$$

### Proposition (Feynman-Kac formula for the moments)

For each  $p \geq 2$ ,  $E[u(t, x)^p] = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p]$ , and

$$E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p] = E_B \left[ \exp \left( c_{2,H} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\varepsilon \xi^2 + i \xi (B_r^i - B_r^j)} |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi dr \right) \right],$$

where  $B$  is a  $p$ -dimensional Brownian motion independent of  $W$ .

- *Step 1:* Include the diagonal elements:

$$E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p] = E_B \left[ \exp \left( c \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^p e^{i\xi B_r^j} \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi dr - cpt\varepsilon^{H-1} \right) \right],$$

- *Step 2:* Reduce the expectation to the event:

$$A_\varepsilon = \left\{ \sup_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |B_r^j| \leq \frac{\pi}{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{1-H}{2}} \right\},$$

which satisfies  $P(A_\varepsilon) \geq ce^{-ce^{H-1}pt}$ .

On  $A_\varepsilon$ , assuming  $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{H-1}{2}}$ , we have  $|\sum_{j=1}^p e^{iB_r^j \xi}| \geq cp$ .

- *Step 3:* As a consequence

$$E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p] \geq \exp\left(cp^2 t \varepsilon^{-(1-H)^2} - cpt \varepsilon^{H-1}\right).$$

- *Step 4:* Choosing  $\varepsilon = cp^{\frac{1}{H(H-1)}}$  and using

$$E[u^\varepsilon(t, x)^p] \leq E[u(t, x)^p]$$

we get the desired bound.

# Spatial asymptotics

Work in progress with X. Chen, Y. Hu and S. Tindel

- For  $t \geq 0$  fixed, we claim that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-\frac{1}{1+H}} \log \left( \max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) \right) = C_H (t\mathcal{E})^{\frac{H}{1+H}},$$

almost surely, where

$$\mathcal{E} = \sup_g \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}g^2(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'(x)|^2 dx \right\},$$

and the supremum is over  $\{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1, g' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ .

- This means that  $v(t, x) = \log u(t, x)$  (solution to the KPZ equation) satisfies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{|x| \leq R} v(t, x)}{(\log R)^{\frac{1}{1+H}}} = C_H (t\mathcal{E})^{\frac{H}{1+H}}.$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{1+H} = \frac{2}{3}$  if  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Thanks for your attention!  
Bon anniversaire pour Vlad!

